Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9 that The Office of Security component to a security officer to a provides a staff security officer to a IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: DOD Office for a national intelligence collection program ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 1 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? August 20, 1962 Based upon request of the NRO Office Yes X No___ Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The entire Intell. Community, Indeed, the U. S. Government because it is protection of sources and methods Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes \underline{X} No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes \underline{X} No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No Probably being done as well as can be at present. If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? It has to do with Compartmentation & SSC has the knowledge to Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

If so, how?

Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R00070002002839 sponsible of Security Tyles and the conduct for security policy related to the conduct IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: of two DOD/CIA national intelligence collection programs COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 2 DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 2 May 1962 Agreement between DOD & CIA 19 August 1969 Agreement between Sec. Def. & DCI Yes X No___ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Program administrators who need advice and guidance re. Security procedures to be used. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X If so, where and why? SSC has the expertise in the Compartmented Field Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No_X If so, what?

IDENTIFICA **PPROVED FRE Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9
The Office of Security component that
provides security advisors in the COMIREX
and Sigint/Sors proceedings

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 3	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Special S	Security
EVALUATION:		DATE:	Cen
What is (are) our reference(s) for Memo for Deputy Director of Se (SIGINT/SORS) Historical Prece for COMIREX) 17 January 197 Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) Members of SIGINT/SORS & COMIR procedures are mandatory	dence goes b 0,1956 force? of this acti	ack to 1956 (no r Yes <u>x</u> No	eference
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient of the so, how?	tic ctill n	10000000 V	X NoNoX
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activitother Office?	cy should be tran	sferred —
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No_X	ty more effi If so, which	ciently? Less component and w	ostly? hy?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No χ If s	questionable o, what?	in the light of	
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or d ncy security	iscontinued with ? Yes No_X	
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by No X If	OS that you feel so, what?	is more

Approved For Release 2	C002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020020020 required The Office of Security
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	The Office of Security companies of the Security to provide the services of two security officers (One who is Chairman) to the USIB Security Committee's Subcommittee on
	Compartmentation
	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center
ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 4	DATE.
EVALUATION:	DRIE.
•	Date(s)?
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Minutes of November SECO SECOM M-165 dtd 2 Dece	mber 1974 Yes X No
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary SECOM/USIB	(ies) of this activity?
SECOM/031D	, No
Do you feel the activity is Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mon If so, how?	still necessary? Yes X NO Yes X No Feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No X re efficiently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibi to another OS component o If so, where and why?	lity for the activity should be transferred r to another Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the	ne activity more efficiently? Less costly? No X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include a Watergate? Yes No	anything questionable in the light of X If so, what?
significant effects job	ed in scope/size or discontinued without verall Agency security? Yes No b doesn't relate to agency security security
	now being pursued by OS that you feel is mor? Yes No_ X If so, what?

IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release 2002/11/07/16/A-RDP83E00828R0007000028-9that provides an officer to serve as the Talent Security Officer for the Intelligence Community

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 5	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M	/Special S	Security Center
EVALUATION:	9,		DATE:	Control
What is (are) our reference(s) for Aug 1969 (Responsibilities of the Di R of Security is TSO-when and ho not known. However a new TK Manual Isfi(are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	w he delegat is being pr force?	ed resp epared Yes X	which does	y to ooc
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still n	recessar	rvi iesa	No SNo_X_
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activing the contraction of the contraction	ity shou e? Yes_	ıld be tra No_	ansferred X
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes NoX	rity more eff If so, which	ficient ch compo	ly? Less onent and	costly? why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If	g questionabl	le in t	he light	of
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or gency securit	discon ty? Ye	tinued wi s No	thout X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by No_X I	y OS th f so, w	at you fe hat?	el is more

ś	IDENTIFICATION OF Release 2002/19/07 fc/ACROP83800823R000700020028-9 that provides an officer to serve as the 25X1A Security and Control Officer for the Intelligence Community
	ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 6 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center
	EVALUATION: DATE:
25	What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Control System Manual P. 2 Para B of V 21 July 1966
	Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? The Intelligence Community and private contractors involved in projects 25X Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
	Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? les X No X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
	Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?
-	Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
	Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?
	Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_ χ If so, how?
	Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R0007A9020928-9responsible IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of the convices of a Security for providing the services of a Security Officer to effect day-to-day interpretation of SIGINT Security Policy in relation to CIA activities COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security II, B, 8 ACTIVITY CODE: - Center DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Memo dtd 27 January 1970 to Deputy Director of Security from OS Executive Officer Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? CIA And Community Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes \underline{X} No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes \underline{X} Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No No No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

agency committees concerned with the security of sensitive compartmented information. OS/P&M/Special Security COMPONENT: ACTIVITY CODE: II, B 9-DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Without being spelled out the Director of Security and Chairman SECOM have asked SSC to participate in these committees because of SSC's knowledge in the compartmented areas. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Beneficiary is any committee which receives SSC expertise and I use Do you feel the activity is still necessary? he word beneficiary loosely Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACT Release 2002/11/07f:fGLA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-5 responsible

for participating in the inter and intra-

IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9 that The Office of Security Component that provides security guidance to non-USIB Agencies related to the safeguarding of sensitive compartmented material.

ACTIVITY CODE: II. B. 10	COMPONENT: OS/PGM/Special Security
EVALUATION:	DATE:
DCID 1/14 for compartmented in	11 Security Regs-Annex D dtd Nov 73 fo (this is very general) dtd 1 Jul 68 as amended gives DCI resp. for protecting force? Yes X No \sources &
The Non-USIB Community & ultim	ately U. S. Govt.
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes ^ No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No_X_	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X_ If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83E0082E00070962002E-9that The Office Security surveys of non USIB facilities that will be used to house sensitive compartmented material.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, II	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Specia	al Securi Cent	er
EVALUATION:		DATE:		
Missions & functions of the SS What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activi	10 August ty? Date(s)	1972 ?	25X1/
National Security Act of 1947, as for Control System Manual-for TALENT August 1969 (3) Responsible the reference (s) Still in Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies)	amended Section V, parallities, of the force? of this acti	ara B, dtd Ju ne TALENT Con Yes x No_ vity?	11v 1966	icer, CL
All companies, agencies and orgs t facilities for TK info	that require s	storage		25X1A
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel to Can the activity be done more eff. If so, how?	TT 18 81 11 11	16CC33GX1.	Yes_x Yes	No NoX
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activinother Office	ty should be	e transfe No <u>X</u>	erred
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes No_X	vity more ef: If so, which	ficiently? ch component	Less cos and why	t1y? ?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No_X If	g questionab so, what?	le in the li	ght of	
			· ·	
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall A If so, how?	scope/size or Agency securi	discontinue ty? Yes	ed withou No_X_	τ.
Is there any activity not now bei important than this one? Yes	ing pursued b No_X I	y OS that yo f so, what?	ou feel i	s more

IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release 2002/1007ic1A-RDP8@B00828R000700020028-9that provides security indoctrination to personnel of nonUSIB Agencies who will have access to sensitive compartmented information

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 12	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Special Secur	-
EVALUATION:	<u>(</u>)	DATE:	31
What is (are) our reference(s) Missions and Functions (Para 4)	for this activit s of the SSC	ty? Date(s)? 10 August 1972	
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ie All non-USIB Agencies that Compartmented game	s) of this activ	rity?	
Do you feel the activity is sti Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fee Can the activity be done more e If so, how?	l it is still ne	ecessary? Yes X N	o No X
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?			red
Can another component do the act More properly? Yes No	tivity more effiX If so, which	ciently? Less costly component and why?	у?
Does the activity include anyth: Watergate? Yes No_X	ing questionable If so, what?	in the light of	
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or d Agency security	iscontinued without ? Yes No_X	
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes_	eing pursued by No_X If	OS that you feel is a so, what?	more

	IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release	20 0241/0 7ff cHeRDf83800 for accrediting a)823 000 070 00 2002 8 5 11 facil:	responsible ities as
	•	to Security requi	rements	25X
				20/(
			i de la companya de l	
	ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 13	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Special	Security Center
	EVALUATION:	×***;	DATE:	
	EVABORITON.			
,		(a) fam this coting	two Doto(c)?	
	What is (are) our reference Missions and functions	of the still para 19)	10 August 1972	
	Soction 102(d) National	Security ACT OF 19	14/•	66 25 X
	Control System M	anual Section V.Par	a B ata July 19	23/
	Is (are) the reference(s) s	till in force?	Yes X No	
	Who is (are) the beneficiary	y(les) of this acti	ivity?	25X
	All facilities			
	Do you feel the activity is	still necessary?	Yes_X_No	- v
	Do(es) the beneficiary(ies)	feel it is still r	necessary? Yes	-X No_s es No_X
	Can the activity be done mo	re efficiently or I	less costly: 16	as No A
	If so, how?		•	
	Do you feel that responsibil	lity for the activi	ity should be to	ransferred
	to another OS component of If so, where and why?	r to another office	e: 165 NO_	_X
	II so, where and why:			
			C	+ 10
•	Can another component do th	e activity more eti	ticiently? Les	s costly:
	More properly? Yes	$\frac{1}{X}$ II SO, WIII	on component and	ı wily .
				_
	Does the activity include a	nything questionab	le in the light	of
	Watergate? Yes No	\underline{X} If so, what?	•	•
				·
	Can this activity be reduce	d in scope/size or	discontinued w	ithout
	significant effect on ove	rall Agency securit	ty? YesN	0_X
	If so, how?			•
	·	•		
	Is there any activity not n	ow being pursued by	y OS that you f	eel is more
	important than this one?	YesNo_X I:	t so, what?	

IDENTIFICATAPRIORE FOR Release: 2002/16/07f: FGLA-RDF83B00823R900760020028-9 responsible for accrediting all TALENT facilities as to Security requirements

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 14	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Special S	Sécurity Center
EVALUATION:		DATE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
What is (are) our reference(s) for Missions & Functions of the Struction Section 102(d) Nat'l Security	r this activit SC (para 10 ရ Act. of 1947	Ty? Date(s)? 11) dtd 10 August	1972
Is (are) the reference(s) still is Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Y of this activ	Yes X No	
All Talent Facilities	•		
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff If so, how?	it is still ne	ecessary? Yes X	NoNoX
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to a lf so, where and why?	or the activit nother Office?	y should be tran Yes No_X	sferred
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes No_X	vity more effi If so, which	ciently? Less on component and w	ostly?
	•		
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No If	g questionable so, what?	e in the light of	
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall A If so, how?	cope/size or d gency security	liscontinued with 7? Yes No	iout (
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by No_X If	OS that you feel so, what?	is more

IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9
The Office of Security Component responsible for accrediting CIA emergency couriers for sensitive compartmented information.

ACTIVITY CODE: II B. 15	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for Missions & Functions of the SS No other written authorization Policy developed from dialogue Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) Any component in CIA that deal compartmented info	C (para 26) dtd 10 Aug 1972 between those in need & SSC for example force? Yes X No memo dtd of this activity? 6/30/71
Can the activity be done more effi	necessary? Yes X No t is still necessary? Yes see benow ciently or less costly? Yes No X is requirement as getting in their way
operationally. But if we didn be carrying compartmented info	y't do it every lom, bick a harold would with him. r the activity should be transferred
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes NoX_	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X_ If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATApproved ApriRelease:2002/41/07 fCberDP83B00823R000700D2002849 responsible for accrediting all CIA COMINT facilities as to security requirements

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 16	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Special	Security Center
EVALUATION:		DATE:	
	•		
What is (are) our reference(s) for Communications Intell. Securit Physical Security. paras 94 & Missions & Functions of the SS	95	Nov 73	and
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) All facilities	of this activ	rity? ore COMINT	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more effit If so, how?	t is still ne	cessary? Yes	No_X
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?			
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes NoX			
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes NoX If		in the light o	of
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or d ency security	iscontinued wit? Yes No_	thout X
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by No If	OS that you fee so, what?	el is more

sensitive compartmented information COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 17 Center DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Nat'l Security Act of 1947, Section 102(d) protection of Sources & methods Control System Manual, Section V, (para B) dtd July 66 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? I suppose generally speaking, investigation of compromise enhances security of entire programs, so U. S. Govt benefits Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X' If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No____ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATABRIOGE Refrese 2002/41/07f CLA-RDP83B00823R000700020028t9 responsible

for participating in the investigation of all incidents involving the compromise of

for the reporting and investigation of compromises of intelligence sources and methods through their publication in the public news media COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security ACTIVITY CODE: II. B. 18 Center DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Nat'l Security Act of 1947/Section 102(d) Missions and Functions of the SSC (Para 18) dtd 10 August 72 USIB Memo dtd 7 June 1971 "Public Disclosure of Classified Intell. Info. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The Intell Community Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No_ Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACT Release 2002/11/07 f FIA-RDF 3 8 90823 R0907000020028 to that

serves as the focal point within the CIA